

#1 Research quality. Please select one of the descriptors below

Rating	Research Quality
7 Exceptional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project aims and background information is supported by an extremely well justified hypothesis/rationale. Extremely coherent and flawless study design and approach.
6 Outstanding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project aims and background information is supported by a very well justified hypothesis/rationale Very highly coherent and outstanding study design and approach.
5 Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project aims and background information is supported by a well justified hypothesis/rationale. Highly coherent and excellent study design and approach.
4 Very good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project aims and background information are supported by a well justified hypothesis/rationale. Very study design and approach.
3 Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project aims and background information are supported by a sound hypothesis/rationale. Logical and generally clear study design and approach.
2 Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project aims and background information are supported by a satisfactory hypothesis/rationale. Satisfactory study design and approach but lack clarity in some aspects.
1 Marginal to Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project aims and background information are underpinned by a weak hypothesis/rationale. Significant flaws in the study design and approach.

#2 Innovation and creativity. Please select one of the descriptors below

Rating	Innovation & Creativity
7 Exceptional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relative to the research field, the planned research demonstrates extremely innovative project aims, which will result in an extremely substantial shift in the current paradigm, and/or lead to an extremely substantial breakthrough or impact in the research area.
6 Outstanding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relative to the research field, the planned research demonstrates very highly innovative project aims, which will result in a very substantial shift in the current paradigm, and/or lead to a very substantial breakthrough or impact in the research area.
5 Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relative to the research field, the planned research demonstrates highly innovative project aims, which will result in a substantial shift in the current paradigm, and/or lead to a substantial breakthrough or impact in the research area.
4 Very good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relative to the research field, the planned research demonstrates innovative project aims, which will result in a moderate shift in the current paradigm, and/or lead to a moderate breakthrough or impact in the research area.

3 Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relative to the research field, the planned research demonstrates some innovative project aims, which will likely result in some shift in the current paradigm, and/or lead to some breakthrough or impact in the health research area.
2 Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relative to the research field, the planned research demonstrates somewhat innovative project aims, which will result in a minor shift in the current paradigm, and/or lead to a minor breakthrough or impact in the health research area
1 Marginal to Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relative to the research field, the planned research does not demonstrate innovative project aims, and is unlikely to cause a shift in the current paradigm, or lead to a breakthrough or impact in the health research area

#3 Significance. Please select one of the descriptors below

Rating	Significance
7 Exceptional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The planned research, relative to the research field will address an issue of critical importance to advance the research or health area and result in extremely significant outcomes in the research or health field.
6 Outstanding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The planned research, relative to the research field will address an issue that is of very high importance to advance the research or health area and result in very highly significant outcomes in the research or health field.
5 Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The planned research, relative to the research field will address an issue of considerable importance to advance the research or health area and result in highly significant outcomes in the research or health field.
4 Very good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The planned research, relative to the research field will address an issue of importance to advance the research or health area and will result in significant outcomes in the research or health field.
3 Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The planned research, relative to the research field will address an issue of some importance to advance the research or health area and will result in moderately significant outcomes in the research or health field.
2 Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The planned research, relative to the research field will address an issue of marginal importance to advance the research or health area and may result in outcomes in the research or health field.
1 Marginal to Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The planned research, relative to the research field will address an issue of some concern to advance the research or health area, but it is unlikely to result in outcomes in the research or health field.

#4 Capability. Please select one of the descriptors below

Rating	Capability
7 Exceptional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIA demonstrates a very strong capability to lead the team in achieving the project aims. • Project investigators have exceptional capability to execute the project and deliver outcomes, access to exceptional technical resources, infrastructure, equipment and facilities, if required, and additional support necessary for the project. • Extremely appropriate balance of integrated expertise, experience and training among the investigators that specifically targets all aspects of the proposed research, in terms of both depth and breadth.
6 Outstanding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIA demonstrates a strong capability to lead the team in achieving the project aims. • Project investigators have outstanding capability to execute the project and deliver outcomes, access to outstanding technical resources, infrastructure, equipment and facilities, if required, and additional support for the project. • Highly appropriate balance of integrated expertise, experience and training among the investigators that is targeted towards all aspects of the proposed research, in terms of both depth and breadth.
5 Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIA demonstrates good capability to lead the team in achieving the project aims. • Project investigators have excellent capability to execute the project and deliver outcomes, access to excellent technical resources, infrastructure, equipment and facilities, if required, and additional support necessary for the project. • Highly appropriate balance of integrated expertise, experience and training necessary among the investigators for all aspects of the proposed research, both in terms of both depth and breadth.
4 Very good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIA demonstrates capability to lead the team in achieving the project aims. • Project investigators have very good capability to execute the project and deliver outcomes, access to very good technical resources, infrastructure, equipment and facilities, if required, and additional support necessary for the project. • Appropriate balance of integrated expertise, experience and training among the investigators necessary for all aspects of the proposed research, in terms of both depth and breadth.
3 Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIA demonstrates some capability to lead the team in achieving the project aims. • Project investigators have good capability to execute the project and deliver outcomes, access to good technical resources, infrastructure, equipment and facilities, if required, and additional support necessary for the project. • Expertise, experience and training among investigators that is essential, integrated and balanced for most aspects of the proposed research, in terms of both depth and breadth, with some major concerns

<p>2 Satisfactory</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIA demonstrates some capability to lead the team in achieving the project aims. • Project investigators has some capability to execute the project and deliver outcomes, access to some of the necessary technical resources, infrastructure, equipment and facilities, if required, and may have access to additional support relevant to the project. • Limited expertise, experience and training among investigators essential to the proposed research in terms of depth and breadth, and raises several major concerns.
<p>1 Marginal to Poor</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIA does not demonstrate capability to lead the team in achieving the project aims. • Project investigators do not demonstrate capability to execute the project and deliver outcomes, have access to the necessary technical resources, infrastructure, equipment and facilities, if required, and additional support) relevant to the project. • No expertise, experience and training among investigators essential to the proposed research in terms of depth and breadth.

#5 Budget. Please select one of the descriptors below

Rating	Budget
<p>2 Exceptional</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of the proposed research budget are provided. • Justified why the funds are required and how they will be spent to demonstrate value for money.
<p>1 Marginal to Poor</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor justification on why the funds are required.

#6 Career stage. Please select one of the descriptors below

Rating	Career stage
<p>2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research project is led by an early to mid-career researcher*.
<p>1</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research project is led by an established to leading career researcher.

*Early to mid-career researcher defined as an ACD Fellow that received Fellowship <10 years.

#7 Additional Comments. Please provide comments on the overall project (i.e., strengths/weaknesses). No more than 150-300 words.